Case 2:02-cv-02949-JPM Document 55 Filed 07/07/05 Page 1 of 3 PageID 86

FILED BY ULL D.C.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

05 JUL -7 PM 3: 49

THOMAS M. GOULD

			CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COU
CLEOPHUS TRIBBLE,	)		WO OF THI, WENDHIS
	)		
Plaintiff,	)		
	)		
v.	)		
	)	No. 02-2949 M1/V	
MEMPHIS CITY SCHOOLS,	)		
	)		
Defendant.	)		
	)		

## ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S RULE 59 MOTION TO OPEN AND/OR AMEND JUDGMENT

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Rule 59 Motion to Open and/or Amend Judgment, filed May 31, 2005. Defendant did not file a response to Plaintiff's motion. For the following reasons, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.

On May 19, 2005, the Court granted Defendant's motion for summary judgment and dismissed all of Plaintiff's claims in this case. (See Order Granting Def.'s Amended Mot. for Summ. J. (Docket No. 52).) Plaintiff moves to open the Court's judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59. Rule 59 allows a party to move for a new trial and to open the Court's judgment "for any of the reasons for which new trials have heretofore been granted in actions at law in the courts of the United States ...." Fed. R. Civ. P. 59. The authority to grant a new trial under Rule 59 rests within the discretion of the trial court.

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and/or 79(a) FRCP on 7-8-05



Allied Chemical Corp. v. Daiflon, Inc., 449 U.S. 33, 36 (1980);

Montgomery Ward & Co. v. Duncan, 311 U.S. 243, 251 (1940).

Plaintiff contends that the Court erred in granting
Defendant's motion for summary judgment because genuine issues of
material fact assertedly exist regarding whether Plaintiff could
establish a prima facie case of race discrimination and whether
Defendant established the existence of a legitimate nondiscriminatory reason for Plaintiff's discharge. Having reviewed
the record and the parties' submissions, however, the Court finds
that no basis exists for opening the Court's judgment and
ordering a new trial pursuant to Rule 59. Accordingly,
Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.

so ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of July, 2005.

JON P. McCALLA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



## **Notice of Distribution**

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 55 in case 2:02-CV-02949 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on July 8, 2005 to the parties listed.

Cleophus Tribble 5403 Autumn Brook Drive Memphis, TN 38141

Michael R. Marshall STOKES BARTHOLOMEW EVANS & PETREE, P.A. 1000 Ridgeway Loop Rd. Ste. 200 Memphis, TN 38120

Peggy J. Lee LEE LAW FIRM 147 Jefferson Ste. 406 Memphis, TN 38103

Ernest G. Kelly STOKES BARTHOLOMEW EVANS & PETREE, P.A. 1000 Ridgeway Loop Rd. Ste. 200 Memphis, TN 38120

Prince C. Chambliss STOKES BARTHOLOMEW EVANS & PETREE, P.A. 1000 Ridgeway Loop Rd. Ste. 200 Memphis, TN 38120

Honorable Jon McCalla US DISTRICT COURT